

# RoboCanes

## RoboCup 3D Simulation League Team Description Paper

### 2014

Saminda Abeyruwan, Piyali Nath, Kyle Poore, Andreas Seekircher, Justin Stoecker, and Ubbo Visser

Department of Computer Science  
University of Miami  
1365 Memorial Drive, Coral Gables, FL, 33146 USA  
robocanes@cs.miami.edu

## 1 Introduction

Our team, RoboCanes, was formed in January 2010 and developed its agent's software from scratch. The team is lead by Saminda Abeyruwan who participated in RoboCup teams since 2010. Saminda is a PhD student at the University of Miami in the USA and he participates with the team RoboCanes in the 3D Soccer Simulation League and in the Standard Platform League.

RoboCanes team members are Saminda Abeyruwan, Piyali Nath, Kyle Poore, Andreas Seekircher, Justin Stoecker, and Ubbo Visser. Saminda, Andreas, and Justin are PhD students, Piyali is a MSc student, Kyle is an undergraduate student, and Ubbo is a faculty member at the Computer Science Department of the University of Miami. Saminda focuses on knowledge representation, localization (robot, ball, opponents) and role formations, and has a good experience with filter techniques and reinforcement learning techniques. Piyali is interested in parallel/distributed learning. Kyle is a new member of the team working on audio algorithms for the physical NAO. Andreas comes from the SSL team B-Smart and has done studies for his MSc Thesis on the physical NAO. He has published a paper about his thesis entitled "Entropy-based active vision for a humanoid soccer robot" which received him the best paper award at RoboCup 2010 in Singapore. He is interested in motions and motion learning on both the physical and the simulated NAO. Justin's specialties are in graphics/visualization. His skills lead to the new 3D monitor RoboViz that is used for regional opens and the World Championship since 2011. Ubbo has participated with the RoboCup community in various functions and teams since 2000. He started with (and currently still is in) the Soccer Simulation League. He then founded more teams from the Bremen University in Germany (together with Thomas Röfer): The SSL team B-Smart and the German Team in the 4LL (also together with H.-D. Burkhard, Humboldt University Berlin). Since 2008, he is affiliated with the University of Miami in the USA where he founded RoboCanes.

## 2 Research interests and planned activities

Our research activities are in the area of **behavior/situation recognition, prediction and control**. Our current activities can be divided into two parts: short-term activities to be addressed before RoboCup 2014 and the mid-term activities beyond this competition.

Besides working on the low-level skills that are described in section 2.1 we like to apply plan recognition methods in order to bring valuable knowledge into the behavior decision process. These efforts are presented in section 2.2. The application of learning methods for learning low-level skills as well as higher-level behaviors is another research direction addressed by our team presented in section 2.3.

### 2.1 Humanoid Walking Engine and Special Actions

The development of the robot’s basic skills in the **RoboCanes** agent is based on the experiences and results of the Bremen humanoid team **B-Human** [RBF<sup>+</sup>07] (a follow-up from the **BreDoBrothers**, which was a joint team from the Universität Bremen and the Universität Dortmund [RFH<sup>+</sup>06]). This is an important step towards merging research efforts of two separate RoboCup leagues. The 3D soccer simulation league can benefit from the experiences of the real robot humanoid league. Later on, a sufficiently realistic simulation (e.g. the new Webots simulator that is tied with the physical NAO) can be used to ease certain aspects during the development of real robots by (pre-) learning some skills or testing different settings in the simulation that might be disadvantageous (and costly) for real robots. In the first step, we used existing technologies of the **B-Human** team and integrated them into the **RoboCanes** agent. The first skill that has been implemented is the walking engine; for more information about the walking engine see [NRL07,LR06,RFH<sup>+</sup>06]. In order to use the walking engine, the dimensions and physical properties of the simulated agent had to be provided. Furthermore, the agent’s status of the different joints must be passed to the walking engine and the resulting effector command have to be mapped to the corresponding effectors in the simulation. We have improved the **RoboCanes** walking engine by optimizing various parameters, e.g. the step frequency, step height or the center of mass position. In the simulation, this optimization yields a sufficiently stable walking motion even without using any feedback from the sensors. However, our current walk would benefit from better balancing. It would also be impossible to use fine-tuned motion like this on the physical robot. Therefore, we are working on a new walking engine with dynamic balancing which can be used in both leagues.

Similar to **B-Human**, we created several motions as so called “special actions”, such as “getting up” or “kicking the ball”. These motions are defined by a sequence of keyframes containing joint angles. We use the code that generates these motions in both leagues, the 3D simulation and SPL. However, the angles that define the motions need to be adapted for different robots. In order to create a motion for the simulated robot, we need a first version of the intended behavior.

This can be slow and unreliable, but it provides the initial parameters for the fine tuning in a second phase. We applied automated optimization methods like genetic algorithms [Mit98,PLM08,Gol89] or reinforcement learning [Wil92,SB98] in order to identify good settings for the different actions.

Another goal we pursue is creating a workflow for quickly generating reliable motions, preferably with inexpensive and accessible hardware. Our hypothesis is that using Microsofts Kinect sensor in combination with a modern optimization algorithm can achieve this objective. We produced four complex and inherently unstable motions and then applied three contemporary optimization algorithms (CMA-ES, xNES, PSO) to make the motions robust; we performed 900 experiments with these motions on a 3D simulated NAO robot with full physics. We described the motion mapping technique, compared the optimization algorithms, and discussed various basis functions and their impact on the learning performance. Our conclusion is that there is a straightforward process to achieve complex and stable motions in a short period of time [SSAV12]. Further optimizations are planned as described in section 2.3.

The experiences gained from the integration, adaption, and optimization of the actions in the simulation should then flow back to the RoboCanes SPL team in the next step, which hopefully can be helpful to improve the performance of the physical robots.

## 2.2 Behavior/Situation Recognition

A persistent research direction of our working group addresses the recognition of intentions and plans of agents. Such high-level functions cannot be used before a coordinated control of the agent is possible. Substantial advances have been made in past few years experimenting and developing various techniques such as logic-based approaches [WV11], approaches based on probabilistic theories [Rac08], and artificial neural networks [Sta08]. The results have been partly implemented in the current code. For a big portion of last year, the 3D server settings and performance (especially for a larger number of robots) lowered the probability of a fully functional behavior recognition and prediction method for a team of agents. The latest implementation of SimSpark however has changed this situation significantly so that we can follow this research approach as a short-term goal.

Our approach to plan recognition is based on a qualitative description of dynamic scenes (cf. [WSV03,WVH05,DFL<sup>+</sup>04,MVH04]). The basic idea is to map the quantitative information perceived by the agent to qualitative facts that can be used for symbolic processing. Given a symbolic representation it is possible to define possible actions with their preconditions and consequences. In previous work real soccer tactical moves as, for instance, presented in Lucchesi [Luc01], have been formalized [Bog07]. As planning algorithms themselves are costly and thus hard to use in a demanding online scenario as robotic soccer, previously generated generic plans are provided to the agent who then can select the best plan w.r.t. some performance measure out of the set of plans that can

be applied to a situation. As the pre-defined plans take into account multi-agent settings it is possible to select a tactical move for a group of agents where different roles are assigned to various agents. In the 2D simulation league and the previous server of the 3D simulation league this approach has already been applied as behavior decision component in some test matches [WBE08,Bog07].

We developed a set of tools for spatio-temporal real-time analysis of dynamic scenes that can be used in the 3D Simulation League. It is designed to improve the grounding situation of autonomous agents in (simulated) physical domains. We introduced a knowledge processing pipeline ranging from relevance-driven compilation of a qualitative scene description to a knowledge-based detection of complex event and action sequences, conceived as a spatio-temporal pattern matching problem. A methodology for the formalization of motion patterns and their inner composition is defined and applied to capture human expertise about domain-specific motion situations. It is important to note that the approach is not limited to robot soccer. Instead, it can also be applied in other fields such as experimental biology and logistics processes [WV11].

Our research is partly an application of the concepts developed in the parallel project “Automatic Recognition of Plans and Intentions of Other Mobile Robots in Competitive, Dynamic Environments” (research project in the German Research Councils priority program “Cooperating Teams of Mobile Robots in Dynamic Environments”). It is necessary to identify a set of relevant strategic moves that can be either applied by the own team (if the probability for a successful move is high) or recognized from observing the behavior of the opponent team. The German Research Council (DFG) supported our research line between 2001 and 2007 and invited us to submit ideas for further long-term research ideas in that area. This clearly indicates the significance of our research efforts.

### 2.3 Prediction and Control through Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement learning is a popular method in the context of agents and learning where a reward is given to an agent in order to evaluate its performance and thus, (hopefully) learning an optimal policy for action selection [Wil92,SB98]. Reinforcement learning has been applied successfully in robotic soccer before by other teams (e.g., [MR02,RGH<sup>+</sup>06,KS04]). We have integrated a framework for reinforcement learning into our agent where different variants like Q-Learning and SARSA have been used (cf. [Wat89,WD92,SB98]). We have published our current work on one of the Humanoids 2011 and 2012 workshops on soccer playing humanoids [SSV12,ASV12] and submitted a new paper for the AAMAS workshop ALA [ASV13].

It is planned to apply reinforcement learning at two different levels: First of all, we want to investigate how certain skills can be optimized by reinforcement learning, e.g., in order to walk faster or to stand up in shorter time.

The second level where learning should be applied is located in the behavior decision process. If it is known which strategic moves are possible the selection of the preferable move should be learned by reinforcement learning methods. The

set of possible actions is determined by the applicable plans. The reward is given w.r.t. to the result of plan execution, e.g., if it failed or if it could be finished successfully. The desired result would be an automatically optimized high-level behavior based on a set of pre-defined plans. Different experiments have to show how the performance of the team can be improved in matches with identical or varying opponent teams.

The recent learning tasks that have been carried out in the RL framework is based on linear function approximation, specially the penalty goal keep behavior. The reinforcement learning framework is extended with  $GQ(\lambda)$ , Greedy- $GQ(\lambda)$ , and Off-PAC algorithms [MSBS10, BBSE10]. These algorithms have been proven to converge with linear function approximations and it is shown superior results in prediction and control problems.

### 3 Past relevant work

#### 3.1 Monitor and Debugging Tool

Justin Stoecker from RoboCanes has invented a new 3D soccer server monitor (RoboViz) that runs platform independent. RoboViz is a software program designed to assess and develop agent behaviors in a multi-agent system, the RoboCup 3D simulated soccer league. It is an interactive monitor that renders agent and world state information in a three-dimensional scene. In addition, RoboViz provides programmable drawing and debug functionality to agents that can communicate over a network.

The tool facilitates the real-time visualization of agents running concurrently on the SimSpark simulator, and provides higher-level analysis and visualization of agent behaviors not currently possible with existing tools (figure 1).

Features include **visualization and debugging** (e.g. real-time debugging; direct communication with agents; selecting shapes to be rendered), **interactivity and control** (e.g. reposition of objects; switching game-play modes), **enhanced graphics** (e.g. stereoscopic 3D graphics on systems with support for quad-buffered OpenGL; effects such as soft shadows and bloom post-processing provide a visually enticing experience), **easy use** (e.g. simple controls, automatic connection to the server, platform independency), and other features (e.g. various scene perspectives, logfile viewing, playback with different speeds). A detailed description of RoboViz has been published as a paper for the RoboCup Symposium [SV11].

#### 3.2 SimSpark and ODE improvements in 3D Simulation League

Sander van Dijk (Team Boldhearts) and our team RoboCanes have developed a new SimSpark and ODE version. This work is supported by a RoboCup Federation Grant and is focussed on the following goals:

1. Improve stability: fix bugs and increase robustness of simulator.



**Fig. 1.** RoboViz interface with debugging information and 2D bird view

2. Enable starting multiple instances on a single machine or over a network: make it possible to easily run multiple simulations in parallel. The result has been at the Regional Opens in Germany and Iran in 2011 as well as used during the World Cup 2011 in Istanbul.
3. Enhance run-time control: give the possibility to alter any simulation detail at run-time, alleviating need to constantly restart the system.
4. Develop graphical utility tools: facilitate setting up a batch of experiments.

Sander has announced some of the developments in the mailing list.

## References

- [ASV12] Saminda Abeyruwan, Andreas Seekircher, and Ubbo Visser. Dynamic Role Assignment using General Value Functions. In Sven Behnke, Thomas Röfer, and Ubbo Visser, editors, *IEEE Humanoid Robots, HRS workshop*, Osaka, Japan, 2012. IEEE.
- [ASV13] Saminda Abeyruwan, Andreas Seekircher, and Ubbo Visser. Robust and Dynamic Role Assignment in Simulated Soccer. In *AAMAS 2013, ALA Workshop*, 2013.
- [BBSE10] Lucian Busoniu, Robert Babuska, Bart De Schutter, and Damien Ernst. *Reinforcement Learning and Dynamic Programming Using Function Approximators*. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1st edition, 2010.
- [Bog07] Tjorben Bogon. Effiziente abduktive Hypothesengenerierung zur Erkennung von taktischem und strategischem Verhalten im Bereich RoboCup. Master's thesis, Universitaet Bremen, 2007.
- [DFL<sup>+</sup>04] F. Dylla, A. Ferrein, G. Lakemeyer, J. Murray, O. Obst, T. Röfer, F. Stolzenburg, U. Visser, and T. Wagner. Towards a League-Independent Qualitative

- Soccer Theory for RoboCup. In *RoboCup 2004: Robot Soccer World Cup VIII*. Springer, 2004.
- [Gol89] David E. Goldberg. *Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization and Machine Learning*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA., 1989.
- [KS04] G. Kuhlmann and P. Stone. Progress in 3 vs. 2 keepaway. In *RoboCup-2003: Robot Soccer World Cup VII*, pages 694 – 702. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [LR06] T. Laue and T. Röfer. Getting upright: Migrating concepts and software from four-legged to humanoid soccer robots. In E. Menegatti E. Pagello, C. Zhou, editor, *Proceedings of the Workshop on Humanoid Soccer Robots in conjunction with the 2006 IEEE International Conference on Humanoid Robots*, 2006.
- [Luc01] M. Lucchesi. *Coaching the 3-4-1-2 and 4-2-3-1*. Reedswnain Publishing, 2001.
- [Mit98] M. Mitchell. *An introduction to genetic algorithms*. The MIT press, 1998.
- [MR02] A. Merke and M. Riedmiller. Karlsruhe Brainstormers - a reinforcement learning way to robotic soccer. In *RoboCup 2001: Robot Soccer World Cup V*, pages 435–440. Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [MSBS10] Hamid Reza Maei, Csaba Szepesvári, Shalabh Bhatnagar, and Richard S. Sutton. Toward off-policy learning control with function approximation. In *ICML*, pages 719–726, 2010.
- [MVH04] Andrea Miene, Ubbo Visser, and Otthein Herzog. Recognition and prediction of motion situations based on a qualitative motion description. In D. Polani, B. Browning, A. Bonarini, and K. Yoshida, editors, *RoboCup 2003: Robot Soccer World Cup VII, LNCS 3020*, pages 77–88. Springer, 2004.
- [NRL07] C. Niehaus, T. Röfer, and T. Laue. Gait optimization on a humanoid robot using particle swarm optimization. In C. Zhou, E. Pagello, E. Menegatti, and S. Behnke, editors, *IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots*, 2007.
- [PLM08] R. Poli, WB Langdon, and N.F. McPhee. *A field guide to genetic programming*. Lulu Enterprises Uk Ltd, 2008.
- [Rac08] Carsten Rachuy. Erstellen eines probabilistischen Modells zur Klassifikation und Prädiktion von Spielsituationen in der RoboCup 3d Simulationsliga. Master’s thesis, Universität Bremen, 2008.
- [RBF<sup>+</sup>07] Thomas Röfer, Christoph Budelmann, Martin Fritsche, Tim Laue, Judith Müller, Cord Niehaus, and Florian Penquitt. B-Human team description for RoboCup 2007, 2007.
- [RFH<sup>+</sup>06] Thomas Röfer, Martin Fritsche, Matthias Hebbel, Thomas Kindler, Tim Laue, Cord Niehaus, Walter Nistico, and Philippe Schober. BreDoBrothers team description for RoboCup 2006, 2006.
- [RGH<sup>+</sup>06] M. Riedmiller, T. Gabel, R. Hafner, S. Lange, and M. Lauer. Die brainstormers: Entwurfsprinzipien lernfähiger autonomer roboter. *Informatik-Spektrum*, 29(3):175–190, June 2006.
- [SB98] Richard S. Sutton and Andrew G. Barto. *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998.
- [SSAV12] Andreas Seekircher, Justin Stoecker, Saminda Abeyruwan, and Ubbo Visser. Motion capture and contemporary optimization algorithms for robust and stable motions on simulated biped robots. In Xiaoping Chen, Peter Stone, Luis Enrique Sucar, and Tijn van der Zant, editors, *RoboCup*, volume 7500 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 213–224. Springer, 2012.

- [SSV12] Andreas Seekircher, Abeyruwan Saminda, and Ubbo Visser. Accurate Ball Tracking with Extended Kalman Filters as a Prerequisite for a High-level Behavior with Reinforcement Learning. In *Humanoids 2011, 6th Workshop on Humanoid Soccer Robots*, 2012.
- [Sta08] Arne Stahlbock. Situationsbewertungsfunktionen zur Unterstützung der Aktionsauswahl in der 3D Simulationsliga des RoboCup. Master's thesis, Universität Bremen, 2008.
- [SV11] Justin Stoecker and Ubbo Visser. Roboviz: Programmable visualization for simulated soccer. In Thomas Röfer, Norbert Michael Mayer, Jesus Savage, and Uluc Saranlı, editors, *RoboCup*, volume 7416 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 282–293. Springer, 2011.
- [Wat89] Christopher J. C. H. Watkins. *Learning from delayed rewards*. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, England, 1989.
- [WBE08] T. Wagner, T. Bogon, and C. Elfers. Incremental generation of abductive explanations for tactical behavior. *RoboCup 2007: Robot Soccer World Cup XI*, pages 401–408, 2008.
- [WD92] Christopher J. C. H. Watkins and Peter Dayan. Technical note: Q-learning. *Machine Learning*, 8(3-4):279–292, May 1992.
- [Wil92] R.J. Williams. Simple statistical gradient-following algorithms for connectionist reinforcement learning. *Machine Learning*, 8(3):229–256, 1992.
- [WSV03] T. Wagner, C. Schlieder, and U. Visser. An extended panorama: Efficient qualitative spatial knowledge representation for highly dynamic environments. In *Proceedings of the IJCAI-03 Workshop on Issues in Designing Physical Agents for Dynamic Real-Time Environments: World modelling, planning, learning, and communicating*, pages 109–116, 2003.
- [WV11] T. Warden and U. Visser. Real-time spatio-temporal analysis of dynamic scenes. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, pages 1–37, 2011.
- [WVH05] T. Wagner, U. Visser, and O. Herzog. Egocentric qualitative knowledge representation for physical robots. *Journal for Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 2005.